CA-P	١	V	1	١	V	
------	---	---	---	---	---	--

Project Name: SCC Proj	oject Manager Database, Phase 3
OCIO Project #: 3760-4	Ctatus Danaut
Department: Coastal C	Conservancy Status Report
Revision Date: 7/7/09	
Current Task Summary	Progress Report Team Member to Project Manager
Task or Deliverable	Scheduled Actual Issues?
See below.	

### Accomplished this week

This project was technically completed in March 2009 but a number of software issues were discovered during post-installation testing and general staff use. These bugs have been fixed by the consultant at their own expense. The system is now stable and we are formally closing out the contract/project. Since the project is complete, this report is being filed as a formality.

### Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks

Officially accept the project and close out the contract.

Status Summary	Yes/No	Explanation
Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?	N/A	
Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?	N/A	
Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned tasks?	N/A	
Do you plan to take time off that is not currently scheduled?	N/A	

C	Δ	_	P	٨	Λ	١	V
_	_	١ ١			,,		•

Project Name:	SCC Project Manager Database, Phase 3
OCIO Project #:	3760-4
Department:	Coastal Conservancy
<b>Revision Date:</b>	7/7/09

# **Status Report**

### **Status of Assigned Issues**

Issue Number	Description	Due Date	Status
N/A			

### Status Report - Project Manager to Sponsor

### **Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	Yes	bugs found	delay in general staff use but minimal impact	bugs have been fixed
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	Yes	Schedule delay	Task 4 - interim installation removedno impact	None
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	No			
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

### CA-PMM

Project Name:	SCC Pro	ject Manager	Database,	Phase 3	
---------------	---------	--------------	-----------	---------	--

**OCIO Project #:** 3760-4

**Department:** Coastal Conservancy

Revision Date: 7/7/09

# **Status Report**

### **Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	No		
Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	No		
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

C	Α-	PI	VI	N
-	_		•	

Project Name: SCC Project Manager Database, Phase 3	
OCIO Project #: 3760-4	Otatus Banani
Department: Coastal Conservancy	Status Report
Revision Date: 7/7/09	
Current Status and Accomplishments:	

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

Given that this is a new reporting requirement and that the project is complete, this is being submitted as a closing formality. Please see the project IPORs for status reports during the life of the project.

### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

	Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
N/A						

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule				N/A Project complete
Milestones				
Deliverables				
Resources				
OneTime Cost				
Continuing Cost				

Project Name:	SCC Project Manager Database, Phase 3
OCIO Project #:	3760-4
Department:	Coastal Conservancy
<b>Revision Date:</b>	7/7/09

## **Status Report**

### **Status Reports – Sponsor to Steering Committee**

### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

Project Milestones: List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.					
Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
N/A					

### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

\* Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule				N/A Project complete
Milestones				
Deliverables				
Resources				
One Time Cost				
Continuing Cost				

### CA-PMM

**OCIO Project #:** 3760-4

**Department:** Coastal Conservancy

Revision Date: 7/7/09

# **Status Report**

### **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score		
	High Degree of Buy-In	0			
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	0		
	Low Degree of Buy-In	2			
	Strong Viability	0			
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	0		
	Weak Viability	2			
	<5%	0			
Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	1		
	>10%	2			
4. Cook to Data us. Estimated Cook	<5%	0			
Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost-to-Date (higher)	5% to 10%	1	0		
to-Date (Higher)	>10%	2			
5 - Likely Deep als Site at Likely Large and	0 to 3	0			
5. High-Probability, High-Impact – Risks –	4 to 6	1	0		
IV19K9	>6	2			
6. Unresolved Issues	On time	0			
(on time resolution)	Late with no impact	1	1		
	Late impacting the critical path	2			
	Fully engaged	0			
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	0		
	Inadequate enagement	2			
	Strong alignment	0			
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	0		
	Weak or no alignment	2			
	Strong	0			
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0		
	Weak	2			

### CA-PMM

**Project Name:** SCC Project Manager Database, Phase 3

**OCIO Project #: 3760-4** 

**Department:** Coastal Conservancy

Revision Date: 7/7/09

### **Status Report**

10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0			
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1	1		
following the scorecard)	Weak	2			
11. Milestone Hit Rate	>90% on time	0			
(rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	1		
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2			
40. Deliverable Hit Dete	>90% on time	0			
12. Deliverable Hit Rate (rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	1		
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2			
	>90% assigned and available	0			
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	80-90% assigned and available	1	0		
	<80% assigned and available	2			
4.4. Occapione di Rilliantia di	<15%	0			
14. Overtime Utilization	15-25%	1	0		
(% of effort that is overtime)	>25%	2			
	Highly Effective	0			
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0		
	Ineffective	2			
		Total	5		

Green = 0 - 8 Yellow = 9 - 19Red = 20+

### **Vendor Viability Rating Rationale**

The vendor was viable and effective once the senior engineer position stabilized. The rating was a 1 rather than a 0 due to high staff turnover in the first several months of the project that impacted both the initial design and the project progress. Also the vendor could have benefitted from additional in-house testing of the software prior to submitting to client. In general, the vendor was professional, extremely accessible and responsive, and satisfactory overall.